

Report to those charged with governance (ISA 260) 2014/15

Gloucester City Council

September 2015



Contents

The contacts at KPMG in connection with this report are:

Darren Gilbert

Director
KPMG LLP (UK)

Tel: 02920 468205 darren.gilbert@kpmg.co.uk

Duncan Laird
Manager

KPMG LLP (UK)

Tel: 0117 905 4253 duncan.laird@kpmg.co.uk

Report sections	Page
Introduction	2
Headlines	3
■ Financial statements	5
VFM conclusion	10
Appendices	
1. Audit differences	12
2. Declaration of independence and objectivity	13
3. Materiality and reporting of audit differences	15
KPMG Audit Quality Framework	16

This report is addressed to the Authority and has been prepared for the sole use of the Authority. We take no responsibility to any member of staff acting in their individual capacities, or to third parties. The Audit Commission issued a document entitled Statement of Responsibilities of Auditors and Audited Bodies summarising where the responsibilities of auditors begin and end and what is expected from audited bodies. We draw your attention to this document which is available on Public Sector Audit Appointment's website (www.psaa.co.uk).

External auditors do not act as a substitute for the audited body's own responsibility for putting in place proper arrangements to ensure that public business is conducted in accordance with the law and proper standards, and that public money is safeguarded and properly accounted for, and used economically, efficiently and effectively.

We are committed to providing you with a high quality service. If you have any concerns or are dissatisfied with any part of KPMG's work, in the first instance you should contact Darren Gilbert, the engagement lead to the Authority, who will try to resolve your complaint. If you are dissatisfied with your response please contact the national lead partner for all of KPMG's work under our contract with Public Sector Audit Appointments Limited, Trevor Rees (on 0161 246 4000, or by email to trevor.rees@kpmg.co.uk). After this, if you are still dissatisfied with how your complaint has been handled you can access PSAA's complaints procedure by emailing generalenquiries@psaa.co.uk, by telephoning 020 7072 7445 or by writing to Public Sector Audit Appointments Limited, 3rd Floor, Local Government House, Smith Square, London, SW1P 3HZ.



Section one

Introduction

This document summarises:

- the key issues identified during our audit of the financial statements for the year ended 31 March 2015 for the Authority; and
- our assessment of the Authority's arrangements to secure value for money.

Scope of this report

This report summarises the key findings arising from:

- our audit work at Gloucester City Council ('the Authority') in relation to the Authority's 2014/15 financial statements; and
- the work to support our 2014/15 conclusion on the Authority's arrangements to secure economy, efficiency and effectiveness in its use of resources ('VFM conclusion').

Financial statements

Our *External Audit Plan 2014/15*, presented to you in March 2015, set out the four stages of our financial statements audit process.



We previously reported on our work on the first two stages in our *Interim Audit Letter 2014/15* issued in June 2015.

This report focuses on the third stage of the process: substantive procedures. Our on site work for this took place during July and August 2015.

We are now in the final phase of the audit, the completion stage. Some aspects of this stage are also discharged through this report.

VFM conclusion

Our *External Audit Plan 2014/15* explained our risk-based approach to VFM work. We have now completed the work to support our 2014/15 VFM conclusion. This included:

- assessing the potential VFM risks and identifying the residual audit risks for our VFM conclusion; and
- considering the results of any relevant work by the Authority and other inspectorates and review agencies in relation to these risk areas.

Structure of this report

This report is structured as follows:

- Section 2 summarises the headline messages.
- Section 3 sets out our key findings from our audit work in relation to the 2014/15 financial statements of the Authority.
- Section 4 outlines our key findings from our work on the VFM conclusion.

We have also reviewed your progress in implementing prior recommendations and are pleased to report that all prior year recommendations have been fully implemented.

Acknowledgements

We would like to take this opportunity to thank officers and Members for their continuing help and co-operation throughout our audit work.



Section two **Headlines**

This table summarises the headline messages for the Authority. The remainder of this report provides further details on each area.

Proposed audit opinion	We anticipate issuing an unqualified audit opinion on the Authority's financial statements by 30 September 2015. We will also report that your Annual Governance Statement complies with guidance issued by CIPFA/SOLACE in June 2007.	
Audit adjustments	We identified a small number of presentational adjustments required to ensure that the accounts are compliant with the Code of Practice on Local Authority Accounting the United Kingdom 2014/15 ('the Code'). The Authority has addressed these where significant, notably to separate out income and expenditure on housing as a discontinued operation on the face of the Comprehensive Income & Expenditure Account, following the transfer of the Council's housing stock to Gloucester City Homes in Mach 2015.	
Key financial		
statements audit risks	Housing stock transfer to Gloucester City Homes - The Authority completed the transfer of its housing assets to Gloucester City Homes Ltd in March 2015. As part of this transfer, the Authority needed to correctly account for the repayment of long term borrowing previously taken out to finance these assets and the removal of these assets from the Authority's asset register. This was a potentially complex area and there was consequently an increased risk of error.	
	We have worked with officers throughout the year to discuss this key risk and our detailed findings are reported in section 3 of this report. There are no matters of any significance arising as a result of our audit work in this key risk area.	
Accounts production and audit process	The Authority has continued to improve the processes in place for the production of the accounts and supporting working papers. Officers dealt efficiently with audit queries and the audit process has been completed within the planned timescales.	
	The Authority has implemented all of the recommendations in our ISA 260 Report 2013/14 relating to the financial statements.	
Completion	At the date of this report our audit of the financial statements is substantially complete, subject to final review processes and clearance of a small number of queries and tasks.	
	Before we can issue our opinion we require a signed management representation letter.	
	We confirm that we have complied with requirements on objectivity and independence in relation to this year's audit of the Authority's financial statements.	



Section two

Headlines (continued)

This table summarises the headline messages for the Authority. The remainder of this report provides further details on each area.

VFM conclusion and risk areas

We identified the following VFM risk in our External Audit plan 2014/15 issued in March 2015.

Achievement of the savings plan.

We have worked with officers throughout the year to discuss this VFM risk and our detailed findings are reported in section 4 of this report. There are no matters of any significance arising as result of our audit work in this VFM risk areas.

We have concluded that the Authority has made proper arrangements to secure economy, efficiency and effectiveness in its use of resources.

We therefore anticipate issuing an unqualified VFM conclusion by 30 September 2015.



Financial Statements (continued) Proposed opinion and audit differences

We anticipate issuing an unqualified audit opinion in relation to the Authority's financial statements by 30 September 2015.

The wording of your Annual Governance Statement complies with guidance issued by CIPFA/SOLACE in June 2007

We have not identified any issues in the course of the audit that are considered to be material.

Proposed audit opinion

We anticipate issuing an unqualified audit opinion on the Authority's financial statements following approval of the Statement of Accounts by the Audit & Governance Committee on 21 September 2015.

Audit differences

In accordance with ISA 260 we are required to report uncorrected audit differences to you. We also report any material misstatements which have been corrected and which we believe should be communicated to you to help you meet your governance responsibilities.

The final materiality (see Appendix 3 for more information on materiality) level for this year's audit was set at £1.95 million. Audit differences below £95k are not considered significant.

We did not identify any material misstatements. We identified a small number of non-material adjustments that have been amended by management.

In addition, we identified a small number of presentational adjustments required to ensure that the accounts are compliant with the *Code of Practice on Local Authority Accounting in the United Kingdom 2014/15 ('the Code')*. We understand that the Authority will be addressing these where significant.

Controls testing

Our testing identified two control weaknesses: one related to the lack of counter signing by the Human Resources section for 3 of the 25 payroll amendment forms reviewed; the other related to the lack of appropriate approval for 2 of the 25 manual journals tested.

These weaknesses were also identified and reported by Internal Audit so we have not repeated their recommendations in this report.

Annual Governance Statement

We have reviewed the Annual Governance Statement and confirmed that:

- it complies with Delivering Good Governance in Local Government: A Framework published by CIPFA/SOLACE; and
- it is not misleading or inconsistent with other information we are aware of from our audit of the financial statements.



Financial Statements (continued) Significant risks and key areas of audit focus

We have worked with the Authority throughout the year to discuss significant risks and key areas of audit focus

This section sets out our detailed findings on those risks

In our *External Audit Plan 2014/15*, presented to you in March 2015, we identified the significant risks affecting the Authority's 2014/15 financial statements. We have now completed our testing of these areas and set out our evaluation following our substantive work.

The table below sets out our detailed findings for each of the risks that are specific to the Authority.

Significant audit risk	Issue	Findings
Housing stock transfer	The Authority completed the transfer of its housing assets to Gloucester City Homes Ltd in March 2015. As part of this transfer, the Authority needed to correctly account for the repayment of long term borrowing previously taken out to finance these assets and the removal of these assets from the Authority's asset register. This was a potentially complex area and there was consequently an increased risk of error.	We have reviewed the accounting treatment against the requirements of the Code and guidance from CIPFA and DCLG and confirmed that the accounting entries are correct. We have agreed the figures reported in the financial statements to supporting documentation and communication with lenders to confirm that the correct sums are being repaid. We have agreed the value of assets transferred to Gloucester City Homes Ltd to signed transfer documents, valuer's certificates and other supporting documentation and confirmed that these assets have been correctly removed from the Authority's Balance Sheet and accounting records.
		We did identify that additional disclosure should be made in the accounts to recognise that the transfer represents a discontinued operation for the Council. The additional disclosures were presentational only and did not have a financial impact.



Financial Statements (continued) Significant risks and key areas of audit focus

In our External Audit Plan 2014/15 we reported that we would consider two risk areas that are specifically required by professional standards and report our findings to you. These risk areas were Management override of controls and the Fraud risk of revenue recognition.

The table below sets out the outcome of our audit procedures and assessment on these risk areas.

Areas of significant risk	Summary of findings
Management override of Audit areas affected All areas	Our audit methodology incorporates the risk of management override as a default significant risk. Management is typically in a unique position to perpetrate fraud because of its ability to manipulate accounting records and prepare fraudulent financial statements by overriding controls that otherwise appear to be operating effectively. We have not identified any specific additional risks of management override relating to this audit.
controls	In line with our methodology, we carried out appropriate controls testing and substantive procedures, including over journal entries, accounting estimates and significant transactions that are outside the normal course of business, or are otherwise unusual.
	There are no matters arising from this work that we need to bring to your attention.
Fraud risk of Audit areas affected	Professional standards require us to make a rebuttable presumption that the fraud risk from revenue recognition is a significant risk.
revenue None recognition	In our External Audit Plan 2014/15 we reported that we do not consider this to be a significant risk for Local Authorities as there is unlikely to be an incentive to fraudulently recognise revenue.
	This is still the case. Since we have rebutted this presumed risk, there has been no impact on our audit work.



Financial Statements (continued) Accounts production and audit process

The Authority has continued to improve the processes in place for the production of the accounts and supporting working papers

Officers dealt promptly and efficiently with audit queries and the audit process was completed within the planned timescales.

Accounts production and audit process

ISA 260 requires us to communicate to you our views about the significant qualitative aspects of the Authority's accounting practices and financial reporting. We also assessed the Authority's process for preparing the accounts and its support for an efficient audit.

We considered the following criteria:

Element	Commentary
Accounting practices and financial reporting	The Authority continues to improve the financial reporting process and produces statements of accounts to a good standard.
	There is still scope to further improve the presentation of the financial statements so it is readable to a user of the accounts.
	We consider that accounting practices are appropriate.
Completeness of draft	We received a complete set of draft accounts on 30 June 2015.
accounts	The Authority have made a small number of presentational changes to the accounts presented for audit, notably to present the stock transfer and closure of the HRA as a discontinued operation, however there have been no changes which we consider to be fundamental.
Quality of supporting working papers	The quality of working papers provided was good and met the standards specified in our <i>Accounts Audit Protocol</i> .
Response to audit queries	Officers resolved all audit queries in a timely manner.

Element	Commentary
Group audit	To gain assurance over the Authority's group accounts, we have performed specific audit procedures for both Gloucester City Homes Ltd and Gloucestershire Airport Ltd. There are no specific matters which we need to bring to your attention.

Prior year recommendations

As part of our audit we have specifically followed up the Authority's progress in addressing the recommendations in last years ISA 260 report.

The Authority has implemented all of the recommendations in our *ISA* 260 Report 2013/14.



Financial Statements (continued) Completion

We confirm that we have complied with requirements on objectivity and independence in relation to this year's audit of the Authority's financial statements.

Before we can issue our opinion we require a signed management representation letter.

Once we have finalised our opinions and conclusions we will prepare our Annual Audit Letter and close our audit.

Declaration of independence and objectivity

As part of the finalisation process we are required to provide you with representations concerning our independence.

In relation to the audit of the financial statements of Gloucester City Council for the year ending 31 March 2015, we confirm that there were no relationships between KPMG LLP and Gloucester City Council, its directors and senior management and its affiliates that we consider may reasonably be thought to bear on the objectivity and independence of the audit engagement lead and audit staff. We also confirm that we have complied with Ethical Standards and the Public Sector Audit Appointments Ltd requirements in relation to independence and objectivity.

We have provided a detailed declaration in Appendix 2 in accordance with ISA 260.

Management representations

You are required to provide us with representations on specific matters such as your financial standing and whether the transactions within the accounts are legal and unaffected by fraud. We have provided a template to the Head of Finance for presentation to the Audit & Governance Committee. We require a signed copy of your management representations before we issue our audit opinion.

Other matters

ISA 260 requires us to communicate to you by exception 'audit matters of governance interest that arise from the audit of the financial statements' which include:

- significant difficulties encountered during the audit;
- significant matters arising from the audit that were discussed, or subject to correspondence with management;
- other matters, if arising from the audit that, in the auditor's professional judgment, are significant to the oversight of the financial reporting process; and

matters specifically required by other auditing standards to be communicated to those charged with governance (e.g. significant deficiencies in internal control; issues relating to fraud, compliance with laws and regulations, subsequent events, non disclosure, related party, public interest reporting, questions/objections, opening balances etc).

There are no others matters which we wish to draw to your attention in addition to those highlighted in this report.



Section four

VFM conclusion

Our VFM conclusion considers how the Authority secures financial resilience and challenges how it secures economy, efficiency and effectiveness.

We have concluded that the Authority has made proper arrangements to secure economy, efficiency and effectiveness in its use of resources.

Background

Auditors are required to give their statutory VFM conclusion based on two criteria specified by the Audit Commission. These consider whether the Authority has proper arrangements in place for:

- securing financial resilience: looking at the Authority's financial governance, financial planning and financial control processes; and
- challenging how it secures economy, efficiency and effectiveness: looking at how the Authority is prioritising resources and improving efficiency and productivity.

We follow a risk based approach to target audit effort on the areas of greatest audit risk. We consider the arrangements put in place by the Authority to mitigate these risks and plan our work accordingly.

The key elements of the VFM audit approach are summarised in the diagram below.

Work completed

We performed a risk assessment earlier in the year and have reviewed this throughout the year.

The following page includes further details of our VFM risk assessment and our specific risk-based work.

Conclusion

We have concluded that the Authority has made proper arrangements to secure economy, efficiency and effectiveness in its use of resources.

VFM criterion	Met
Securing financial resilience	✓
Securing economy, efficiency and effectiveness	✓





Section four

Specific VFM risks

Our planning procedures identified a residual VFM risk that required us to undertake specific work.

Work completed

In line with the risk-based approach set out on the previous page, and in our *External Audit Plan* we have:

- assessed the Authority's key business risks which are relevant to our VFM conclusion;
- identified the residual audit risks for our VFM conclusion, taking account of work undertaken in previous years or as part of our financial statements audit; and
- completed specific local risk based work.

Key findings

Below we set out the findings in respect of those areas where we have identified a residual audit risk for our VFM conclusion.

We concluded that we needed to carry out additional work for some of these risks. This work is now complete and we also report on this below.

Key VFM risk	Risk description and link to VFM conclusion	Assessment
Achievement of the savings plan	The Authority was required to make savings of £1.4m in 2014/15. The current forecast shows that £870k has been achieved or is in progress, with the remaining £530k at risk or unlikely to be achieved. The Authority currently estimates that £1.4m in savings will need to be achieved during 2015/16. We are aware the Authority is in the process of developing and agreeing proposals with Members for these savings. Further significant savings will be required in 2016/17 and 2017/18 to principally address future reductions to local authority funding alongside service cost and demand pressures. The need for savings will continue to have a significant impact on the Authority's financial resilience.	We have review the Council's Money Plan, which sets out the Council's strategic approach to the management of its finances and presents indicative budgets and Council Tax levels for the medium term. We have confirmed that the Money Plan accurately reflects the annual budget agreed by Council and that the funding assumptions are consistent with those used by other authorities in the region. Appendix 2 of the Money Plan identifies the key cost pressures faced by the Council. The vast majority of the pressures are expected to be felt in 15/16, with just 13% of the cost pressures continuing into 16/17. In the past five years, the Council has delivered savings of £8.9m, and in general, where a saving is targeted it i usually met in full within two years. We have concluded that the MTFP is based on appropriate assumptions and savings plans are achievable.



Appendix 1: Audit differences

This appendix sets out the audit differences.

The financial statements have been amended for all of the errors identified through the audit process.

There is no net impact on the General Fund and HRA as a result of the amendments. We are required by ISA 260 to report all uncorrected misstatements, other than those that we believe are clearly trivial, to those charged with governance (which in your case is the Audit & Governance Committee). We are also required to report all material misstatements that have been corrected but that we believe should be communicated to you to assist you in fulfilling your governance responsibilities.

Uncorrected audit differences

We are pleased to report that there are no uncorrected audit differences.

Corrected audit differences

Our audit identified a small number of non-material errors in the financial statements. These have been discussed with management and the financial statements have been amended for all of them.



Appendix 2: Declaration of independence and objectivity

The Code of Audit Practice requires us to exercise our professional judgement and act independently of both Public Sector Audit Appointments Ltd and the Authority.

Requirements

Auditors appointed by Public Sector Audit Appointments Ltd must comply with the *Code of Audit Practice* (the 'Code') which states that:

"Auditors and their staff should exercise their professional judgement and act independently of both the Commission and the audited body. Auditors, or any firm with which an auditor is associated, should not carry out work for an audited body that does not relate directly to the discharge of auditors' functions, if it would impair the auditors' independence or might give rise to a reasonable perception that their independence could be impaired."

In considering issues of independence and objectivity we consider relevant professional, regulatory and legal requirements and guidance, including the provisions of the Code, the detailed provisions of the Statement of Independence included within the Public Sector Audit Appointments Ltd *Terms of Appointment* ('Public Sector Audit Appointments Ltd Guidance') and the requirements of APB Ethical Standard 1 *Integrity, Objectivity and Independence* ('Ethical Standards').

The Code states that, in carrying out their audit of the financial statements, auditors should comply with auditing standards currently in force, and as may be amended from time to time. Public Sector Audit Appointments Ltd guidance requires appointed auditors to follow the provisions of ISA (UK &I) 260 Communication of Audit Matters with Those Charged with Governance' that are applicable to the audit of listed companies. This means that the appointed auditor must disclose in writing:

Details of all relationships between the auditor and the client, its directors and senior management and its affiliates, including all services provided by the audit firm and its network to the client, its directors and senior management and its affiliates, that the auditor considers may reasonably be thought to bear on the auditor's objectivity and independence.

- The related safeguards that are in place.
- The total amount of fees that the auditor and the auditor's network firms have charged to the client and its affiliates for the provision of services during the reporting period, analysed into appropriate categories, for example, statutory audit services, further audit services, tax advisory services and other non-audit services. For each category, the amounts of any future services which have been contracted or where a written proposal has been submitted are separately disclosed. We do this in our *Annual Audit Letter*.

Appointed auditors are also required to confirm in writing that they have complied with Ethical Standards and that, in the auditor's professional judgement, the auditor is independent and the auditor's objectivity is not compromised, or otherwise declare that the auditor has concerns that the auditor's objectivity and independence may be compromised and explaining the actions which necessarily follow from his. These matters should be discussed with the Audit & Governance Committee.

Ethical Standards require us to communicate to those charged with governance in writing at least annually all significant facts and matters, including those related to the provision of non-audit services and the safeguards put in place that, in our professional judgement, may reasonably be thought to bear on our independence and the objectivity of the Engagement Lead and the audit team.

General procedures to safeguard independence and objectivity

KPMG's reputation is built, in great part, upon the conduct of our professionals and their ability to deliver objective and independent advice and opinions. That integrity and objectivity underpins the work that KPMG performs and is important to the regulatory environments in which we operate. All partners and staff have an obligation to maintain the relevant level of required independence and to identify and evaluate circumstances and relationships that may impair that independence.



Appendix 2: Declaration of independence and objectivity (continued)

We confirm that we have complied with requirements on objectivity and independence in relation to this year's audit of the Authority's financial statements.

Acting as an auditor places specific obligations on the firm, partners and staff in order to demonstrate the firm's required independence. KPMG's policies and procedures regarding independence matters are detailed in the *Ethics and Independence Manual* ('the Manual'). The Manual sets out the overriding principles and summarises the policies and regulations which all partners and staff must adhere to in the area of professional conduct and in dealings with clients and others.

KPMG is committed to ensuring that all partners and staff are aware of these principles. To facilitate this, a hard copy of the Manual is provided to everyone annually. The Manual is divided into two parts. Part 1 sets out KPMG's ethics and independence policies which partners and staff must observe both in relation to their personal dealings and in relation to the professional services they provide. Part 2 of the Manual summarises the key risk management policies which partners and staff are required to follow when providing such services.

All partners and staff must understand the personal responsibilities they have towards complying with the policies outlined in the Manual and follow them at all times. To acknowledge understanding of and adherence to the policies set out in the Manual, all partners and staff are required to submit an annual ethics and independence confirmation. Failure to follow these policies can result in disciplinary action.

Auditor declaration

In relation to the audit of the financial statements of Gloucester City Council for the financial year ending 31 March 2015, we confirm that there were no relationships between KPMG LLP and Gloucester City Council, its directors and senior management and its affiliates that we consider may reasonably be thought to bear on the objectivity and independence of the audit engagement lead and audit staff. We also confirm that we have complied with Ethical Standards and the Public Sector Audit Appointments Ltd requirements in relation to independence and objectivity.



Appendix 3: Materiality and reporting of audit differences

For 2014/15 our materiality was £1.95 million for the Authority's standalone accounts, and £2m for the group accounts.

Materiality

The assessment of what is material is a matter of professional judgment and includes consideration of three aspects: materiality by value, nature and context.

- Material errors by value are those which are simply of significant numerical size to distort the reader's perception of the financial statements. Our assessment of the threshold for this depends upon the size of key figures in the financial statements, as well as other factors such as the level of public interest in the financial statements.
- Errors which are material by nature may not be large in value, but may concern accounting disclosures of key importance and sensitivity, for example the salaries of senior staff.
- Errors that are material by context are those that would alter key figures in the financial statements from one result to another – for example, errors that change successful performance against a target to failure.

We reassessed materiality for the Authority at the start of the final accounts audit based on the figures in the financial statements presented for audit.

Materiality was set at £1.95 million for the Authority's standalone accounts, and at £2 million for the group accounts, which in both cases equates to approximately 2 percent of gross expenditure.

Reporting to the Audit & Governance Committee

Whilst our audit procedures are designed to identify misstatements which are material to our opinion on the financial statements as a whole, we nevertheless report to the Audit & Governance Committee any misstatements of lesser amounts to the extent that these are identified by our audit work.

Under ISA 260, we are obliged to report omissions or misstatements other than those which are 'clearly trivial' to those charged with governance. ISA 260 defines 'clearly trivial' as matters that are clearly inconsequential, whether taken individually or in aggregate and whether judged by any quantitative or qualitative criteria.

ISA 450 requires us to request that uncorrected misstatements are corrected.

In the context of the Authority, we propose that an individual difference could normally be considered to be clearly trivial if it is less than £95k for the Authority.

Where management have corrected material misstatements identified during the course of the audit, we will consider whether those corrections should be communicated to the Audit & Governance Committee to assist it in fulfilling its governance responsibilities.



Appendix 4: KPMG Audit Quality Framework

Commitment to

continuous

improvement

Tone at

the top

Recruitment,

development and assignment

of appropriately qualified

personnel

Performance of

effective and

efficient audits

We continually focus on delivering a high quality audit.

This means building robust quality control procedures into the core audit process rather than bolting them on at the end, and embedding the right attitude and approaches into management and staff.

KPMG's Audit Quality
Framework consists of
seven key drivers combined
with the commitment of each
individual in KPMG.

The diagram summarises our approach and each level is expanded upon.

At KPMG we consider audit quality is not just about reaching the right opinion, but how we reach that opinion. KPMG views the outcome of a quality audit as the delivery of an appropriate and independent opinion in compliance with the auditing standards. It is about the processes, thought and integrity behind the audit report. This means, above all, being independent, compliant with our legal and professional requirements, and offering insight and impartial advice to you, our client.

KPMG's Audit Quality Framework consists of seven key drivers combined with the commitment of each individual in KPMG. We use our seven drivers of audit quality to articulate what audit quality means to KPMG.

We believe it is important to be transparent about the processes that sit behind a KPMG audit report, so you can have absolute confidence in us and in the quality of our audit.

Tone at the top: We make it clear that audit quality is part of our culture and values and therefore non-negotiable. Tone at the top is the umbrella that covers all the drives of quality through a focused and consistent voice. Darren Gilbert as the Engagement Lead sets the tone on the audit and leads by example with a clearly articulated audit strategy and commits a significant proportion of his time throughout the audit directing and supporting the team.

Association with right clients: We undertake rigorous client and engagement acceptance and continuance procedures which are vital to the ability of KPMG to provide high-quality professional services to our clients.

Clear standards and robust audit tools: We expect our audit professionals to adhere to the clear standards we set and we provide a range of tools to support them in meeting these expectations. The global rollout of KPMG's eAudIT application has significantly enhanced existing audit functionality. eAudIT enables KPMG to deliver a highly

technically enabled audit. All of our staff have a searchable data base, Accounting Research Online, that includes all published accounting standards, the KPMG Audit Manual Guidance as well as other relevant sector specific publications, such as the Audit Commission's *Code of Audit Practice*.

Recruitment, development and assignment of appropriately qualified personnel: One of the key drivers of audit quality is assigning professionals appropriate to the Authority's risks. We take great care to assign the right people to the right clients based on a number of factors including their skill set, capacity and relevant

We have a well developed technical infrastructure across the firm that puts us in a strong position to deal with any emerging issues. This includes:

 - A national public sector technical director who has responsibility for co-ordinating our response to emerging accounting issues, influencing accounting bodies (such as CIPFA) as well as acting as a sounding board for our auditors.

- A national technical network of public sector audit professionals is established that meets on a monthly basis and is chaired by our national technical director.

experience.

Clear standards

and robust audit

- All of our staff have a searchable data base, Accounting Research Online, that includes all published accounting standards, the KPMG Audit Manual Guidance as well as other relevant sector specific publications, such as the Audit Commission's *Code of Audit Practice*.
- A dedicated Department of Professional Practice comprised of over 100 staff that provide support to our audit teams and deliver our webbased quarterly technical training.



Appendix 4: KPMG Audit Quality Framework (continued)

We continually focus on delivering a high quality audit.

This means building robust quality control procedures into the core audit process rather than bolting them on at the end, and embedding the right attitude and approaches into management and staff.

Quality must build on the foundations of well trained staff and a robust methodology.

Commitment to technical excellence and quality service delivery:

Our professionals bring you up- the-minute and accurate technical solutions and together with our specialists are capable of solving complex audit issues and delivering valued insights.

Our audit team draws upon specialist resources including Forensic, Corporate Finance, Transaction Services, Advisory, Taxation, Actuarial and IT. We promote technical excellence and quality service delivery through training and accreditation, developing business understanding and sector knowledge, investment in technical support, development of specialist networks and effective consultation processes.

Performance of effective and efficient audits: We understand that how an audit is conducted is as important as the final result. Our drivers of audit quality maximise the performance of the engagement team during the conduct of every audit. We expect our people to demonstrate certain key behaviors in the performance of effective and efficient audits. The key behaviors that our auditors apply throughout the audit process to deliver effective and efficient audits are outlined below:

- timely Engagement Lead and manager involvement;
- critical assessment of audit evidence;
- exercise of professional judgment and professional scepticism;
- ongoing mentoring and on the job coaching, supervision and review;
- appropriately supported and documented conclusions;
- if relevant, appropriate involvement of the Engagement Quality Control reviewer (EQC review);
- clear reporting of significant findings;
- insightful, open and honest two-way communication with those charged with governance; and
- client confidentiality, information security and data privacy.

Commitment to continuous improvement: We employ a broad range of mechanisms to monitor our performance, respond to feedback and understand our opportunities for improvement.

Our quality review results

Public Sector Audit Appointments Ltd publishes information on the quality of work provided by us (and all other firms) for audits undertaken on behalf of them (http://www.psaa.co.uk/audit-quality/principal-audits/kpmg-audit-quality/).

The latest Annual Regulatory Compliance and Quality Report (issued June 2015) showed that we are meeting the overall audit quality and regulatory compliance requirements.



© 2015 KPMG LLP, a UK limited liability partnership and a member firm of the KPMG network of independent member firms affiliated with KPMG International Cooperative ("KPMG International"), a Swiss entity. All rights reserved.